Approved For Release 2004/04919 CVA ROPS and 022R08 approved to the filese through

the BISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Date 22 JAN91

20 December 195k

IR 89-2

25X1A

HENORANDUM POR

l. At the request of one of our mutual friends, I transmit herewith three copies of a chart I once devised in the hope of achieving uniformity in the use of estimative words.

- 2. Shortly after coming to my present job, my office issued a paper in which was stated that such and such a thing was a "serious possibility". A half dosen senior members of the profession had agreed that this was the best expression of our evaluation. A few days later I met one of the primary consumers of the document and inquired how he had liked it. He amswered, "Fine, but what odds did you mean to convey by the supression 'serious possibility'?" I replied that as far as I was concerned, the expression meant 70 chances favoring versus 30 chances against. He showed some surprise and indeed some alarm for, as he said, if he and his group had so interpreted the empression, they would have recommended a dramatically different policy. This piece of news disturbed me and next day I asked my six colleagues what odds they had in mind when they used the expression "serious possibility". It turned out that the edds varied all the way from 30-70 to my own 70-30. Since it appeared to me that the essence of the estimating job was the endeavor to quote odds on the probability or improbability of the occurrease of future events and developments, the one thing that the estimator should be sure to do was to make certain that he caplayed language which exactly reflected his judgment.
- 3. To this end I have tried to establish an estimator's vocabulary as in the chart.
- h. If I could have my way I would permit only a very limited number of verbal expressions of probability to appear in our papers. As in the chart, I would have an "almost certainly" category, but would permit the expressions "highly likely" and "highly probable". In the "probably" category, I would permit "likely" as a symphym, etc. But those symphyms would be few. I would enter them on the chart. Then I would do my atmost to

4/11

from AD/NE chromo for.

and the same of th

000261

-2-

see that no expressions other than the perdessable ones ever appeared in one of our papers. This would be quite a job. People hate to be precise in matters of this sort. They seem to take every opportunity to avoid making an estimate in terms which can be translated into odds. I have never succeeded in holding the line. If I ever get to the point where I was confident that no one would ever use estimative words other than those I would have listed in the table, I would print the table on the back ower of every Estimate we produced. As we stand at present I don't dare de this, for I know that the first paper we put out will contain one or many more words which have an estimative connectation and which do NOT appear in the chart.

- 5. If you think well of the institution, you may be able to achieve greater successes than I, and having successed favor my cause by your success.
- 6. It was a great pleasure to see you and I look ferward to other visits. I have not forgotten the other natters of which we speke and should have something to you in fairly short order.